

On the isovariant Hopf theorem

Ikumitsu NAGASAKI

Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine

(joint with F. Ushitaki)

The Hopf theorem

We first review some well-known results on Hopf type theorems.

Let M be an n -dimensional connected orientable closed manifold, and S^n the n -dimensional sphere.

Let $[M, S^n]$ denote the set of (free) homotopy classes of continuous maps $f : M \rightarrow S^n$.

The degree of f induces a map $\deg : [M, S^n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Then the Hopf theorem or classification theorem of Hopf states that

Theorem 1. The map $\deg : [M, S^n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ($n \geq 1$) is a bijection.

Proof of the Hopf theorem

The proof is divided into two steps.

(1) Application of obstruction theory.

The correspondence

$$\gamma : [M, S^n] \ni [f] \mapsto \gamma(c, f) \in H^n(M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

is a bijection, where c is a constant map.

(2) Calculation of the obstruction class.

In this case $\gamma(c, f) = f^*([S^n])$, and $f^*([S^n])$ is nothing but the degree of f .

Equivariant version

Equivariant versions of the Hopf theorem and related topics have been studied by Segal, Rubinsztein, Petrie, tom Dieck, Balanov, Ferrario, and others.

We recall a simple example of the equivariant Hopf theorem.

Let C_2 be a cyclic group of order 2, and S^n the n -sphere with antipodal C_2 -action.

We want to know the C_2 -homotopy set $[S^n, S^n]_{C_2}$.

Determination of $[S^n, S^n]_{C_2}$ ($n \geq 1$)

The argument is almost same as in the nonequivariant case.

(1) Equivariant obstruction theory shows that the correspondence

$$\gamma_{C_2} : [S^n, S^n]_{C_2} \ni [f] \rightarrow \gamma_{C_2}(id, f) \in \mathfrak{H}_{C_2}^n(S^n; \pi_n(S^n))$$

is a bijection.

(2) Identifying $\mathfrak{H}_{C_2}^n(S^n; \pi_n(S^n)) = \mathbb{Z}$, one can see that

$$\gamma_{C_2}(id, f) = (\deg f - 1)/2.$$

An equivariant Hopf type theorem

Consequently we have a Hopf type theorem for C_2 -maps.

Theorem 2. By setting $D([f]) = (\deg f - 1)/2$, we have a bijection

$$D : [S^n, S^n]_{C_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

Remark. $\deg : [S^n, S^n]_{C_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is injective, and its image is $1 + 2\mathbb{Z}$, i.e., the degree of a C_2 -map is odd, and in particular the forgetful map $i : [S^n, S^n]_{C_2} \rightarrow [S^n, S^n]$ is injective.

A more general result

More generally, one can determine $[SV, SV]_G$ by equivariant obstruction theory. Here V is a unitary G -representation and SV denotes the unit sphere of V .

If V is large, e.g., $V \supset \mathbb{C}G$, then the correspondence

$$d : [SV, SV]_G \ni [f] \rightarrow (\deg f^H)_{(H)} \in \prod_{(H)} \mathbb{Z}$$

is injective, where $f^H : SV^H \rightarrow SV^H$, and the image of d is characterized by the Burnside ring relations. In particular,

$$[SV, SV]_G \cong A(G) \text{ (Burnside ring).}$$

Isovariant map

R. S. Palais introduced the notion of the isovariant map in order to study a classification problem of G -spaces.

Definition. A (continuous) G -map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between G -spaces is called G -isovariant if f preserves the isotropy subgroups, i.e., $G_{f(x)} = G_x$ for all $x \in X$.

If a G -homotopy $F : X \times I \rightarrow Y$ is G -isovariant, then it is called a G -isovariant homotopy.

Let $[X, Y]_G^{\text{isov}}$ denote the G -isovariant homotopy set, i.e., the set of isovariant homotopy classes of G -isovariant maps from X to Y .

Assumption

We would like to determine $[M, SW]_G^{\text{isov}}$ under some assumptions.

We here assume the following.

- M is a connected, orientable, smooth closed G -manifold.
- The G -action on M is *free* and *orientation-preserving*.
- W is a faithful *unitary* G -representation.
- The Borsuk-Ulam inequality:

$$\dim M + 1 \leq \dim SW - \dim SW^{>1}.$$

Here $SW^{>1} = \bigcup_{1 \neq H \leq G} SW^H$, the singular set of SW . If $SW^{>1} = \emptyset$, then we set $\dim SW^{>1} = -1$.

Comments on the Borsuk-Ulam inequality

The Borsuk-Ulam inequality is related to a Borsuk-Ulam type theorem.

Theorem 3 (Borsuk-Ulam theorem). For any continuous map $f : S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, there is $x \in S^n$ such that $f(x) = f(-x)$.

In an equivariant fashion, this is restated as follows.

Theorem 4. Assume that C_2 acts antipodally on spheres. If there is a C_2 -map $f : S^m \rightarrow S^n$, then $m \leq n$.

A Borsuk-Ulam type theorem

The Borsuk-Ulam theorem has many generalizations. The following is one of Borsuk-Ulam type theorems.

Theorem 5 (Isovariant Borsuk-Ulam theorem). Assume that M is a mod $|G|$ homology sphere with free G -action,

$$H_*(M; \mathbb{Z}/|G|) \cong H_*(S^m; \mathbb{Z}/|G|), \quad m = \dim M.$$

If there is a G -isovariant map $f : M \rightarrow SW$, then

$$\dim M + 1 \leq \dim SW - \dim SW^{>1}.$$

Existence of an isovariant map

Set

$$d = \dim SW - \dim SW^{>1}.$$

Remark. Since W is faithful and unitary, d is even and ≥ 2 .

By the isovariant Borsuk-Ulam theorem, if M is a mod $|G|$ homology sphere and $\dim M > d - 1$, then there is no isovariant map from M to SW , i.e., $[M, SW]_G^{\text{isov}}$ is empty.

On the other hand,

Theorem 6. Let M be a closed free G -manifold.

If $\dim M \leq d - 1$, then there is a G -isovariant map from M to SW , i.e., $[M, SW]_G^{\text{isov}}$ is not empty.

Outline of proof

Set

$$SW_{\text{free}} = SW \setminus SW^{>1}.$$

Since G acts freely on M , one can identify $[M, SW]_G^{\text{isov}}$ with $[M, SW_{\text{free}}]_G$. So one may consider G -maps $f : M \rightarrow SW_{\text{free}}$.

Lemma 7. SW_{free} is $(d - 2)$ -connected.

This lemma shows that a G -map $\varphi : G \times S^{k-1} \rightarrow SW_{\text{free}}$ can be extended to $\tilde{\varphi} : G \times D^k \rightarrow SW_{\text{free}}$ for $k \leq d - 1$. One can see the existence of a G -map $f : M \rightarrow SW_{\text{free}}$ using a G -CW decomposition of M . □

Isovariant homotopy classes: $\dim M < d - 1$

Similarly we have the following.

Theorem 8. If $\dim M < d - 1$, then $[M, SW]_G^{\text{isov}} = \{*\}$.

Namely all isovariant maps $f : M \rightarrow SW$ are isovariantly homotopic each other.

Outline of Proof. It suffices to show that any two G -maps $f, g : M \rightarrow SW_{\text{free}}$ are G -homotopic.

Since $\dim M + 1 \leq d - 1$ and SW_{free} is $(d - 2)$ -connected, the G -map $F_0 := f \amalg g : M \times \{0, 1\} \rightarrow SW_{\text{free}}$ can be extended to a G -homotopy $F : M \times I \rightarrow SW_{\text{free}}$. \square

Isovariant homotopy classes: $\dim M = d - 1$

Hereafter we assume that

$$\dim M = d - 1 \quad (d = \dim SW - \dim SW^{>1}).$$

In order to determine $[M, SW]_G^{\text{isov}}$, we introduce the notion of the *multidegree*. Set

$$\mathcal{A} = \{H \in \text{Iso}(W) \mid \dim SW^H = \dim SW^{>1}\},$$

where $\text{Iso}(W)$ is the set of isotropy subgroups of W .

Let \mathcal{A}/G denote the set of conjugacy classes of subgroups in \mathcal{A} , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{A}/G = \{(H) \mid H \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Isomorphisms

Using the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence, we have

$$H_{d-1}(SW_{\text{free}}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H_{d-1}(S(W^H)^\perp; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_{H \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{Z},$$

where $(W^H)^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of W^H in W . Since $gS(W^H)^\perp = S(W^{gHg^{-1}})^\perp$ for $g \in G$, we have

Lemma 9. There is a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -isomorphism

$$\Psi : H_{d-1}(SW_{\text{free}}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}/G} \mathbb{Z}[G/NH],$$

where NH is the normalizer of H in G .

Hence we have

$$\Psi^G : H_{d-1}(SW_{\text{free}}; \mathbb{Z})^G \cong \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}/G} \mathbb{Z}[G/NH]^G.$$

Since

$$\mathbb{Z}[G/NH]^G = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \sigma_H \cong \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\sigma_H := \sum_{\bar{a} \in G/NH} \bar{a}$, we have an isomorphism

$$\Phi : H_{d-1}(SW_{\text{free}}; \mathbb{Z})^G \rightarrow \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}/G} \mathbb{Z}.$$

Multidegree

Let $f : M \rightarrow SW$ be a G -isovariant map (or equivalently $f : M \rightarrow SW_{\text{free}}$ be a G -map). Then f induces a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -homomorphism $f_* : H_{d-1}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{d-1}(SW_{\text{free}}; \mathbb{Z})$.

Since the G -action on M is orientation-preserving, the induced G -action on $H_{d-1}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is trivial, and so $f_*([M]) \in H_{d-1}(SW_{\text{free}}; \mathbb{Z})^G$, where $[M]$ is the fundamental class of M .

Definition. The multidegree of f is defined by

$$\text{mDeg } f = \Phi(f_*([M])) \in \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}/G} \mathbb{Z}.$$

The multidegree is an isovariant invariant.

Isovariant Hopf theorem

Theorem 10. Under the assumption.

(1) $\text{mDeg} : [M, SW]_G^{\text{isov}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}/G} \mathbb{Z}$ is injective.

(2) For any two G -isovariant maps $f, g : M \rightarrow SW$,

$$\text{mDeg } f - \text{mDeg } g \in \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}/G} |NH|\mathbb{Z}.$$

(3) Fix a G -isovariant map $f_0 : M \rightarrow SW$. For any $a \in \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}/G} |NH|\mathbb{Z}$, there exists a G -isovariant map $f : M \rightarrow SW$ such that

$$\text{mDeg } f - \text{mDeg } f_0 = a.$$

Isovariant Hopf theorem

Let $d_H(f)$ be the (H) -component of $d(f)$, i.e., $\text{mDeg } f = (d_H(f))_{(H)} \in \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}/G} \mathbb{Z}$. We define

$$D_{f_0}(f) = \left(\frac{1}{|NH|} (d_H(f) - d_H(f_0)) \right)_{(H)} \in \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}/G} \mathbb{Z},$$

where f_0 is a fixed isovariant map. Then we have

Corollary 11. The map $D_{f_0} : [M, SW]_G^{\text{isov}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}/G} \mathbb{Z}$ is a bijection.

Remark. When the action on SW is not free, then $[M, SW]_G = \{*\}$, and so the forgetful map $[M, SW]_G^{\text{isov}} \rightarrow [M, SW]_G$ is surjective.

Example: Cyclic case

Let C_{pq} be a cyclic group of order pq , where p, q are distinct primes. Let g be a generator of C_{pq} .

Let $U_k (= \mathbb{C})$ be the C_{pq} -representation with the action $gz = z^k$.

Set $M = SU_1$ and $SW = S(U_p \oplus U_q)$.

In this case, $d = 2$ and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}/G = \{C_p, C_q\}$. So we have

$$[M, SW]_{C_{p,q}}^{\text{isov}} \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}.$$

More concretely, a C_{pq} -isovariant map

$$f_{\alpha,\beta} : SU_1 \rightarrow S(U_p \oplus U_q), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z},$$

is defined by

$$f_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(z^{(1+\alpha q)p}, z^{(1+\beta p)q}).$$

These $f_{\alpha,\beta}$ are representatives of isovariant homotopy classes. In fact one can see that

$$D_{f_{0,0}}([f_{\alpha,\beta}]) = (\beta, \alpha).$$

Example: Metacyclic case

Let $Z_{p,q}$ be the metacyclic group of order pq , where p, q are odd primes such that $q|p-1$, i.e., $Z_{p,q}$ has

$$1 \rightarrow C_p \rightarrow Z_{p,q} \rightarrow C_q \rightarrow 1 \text{ (split exact).}$$

Petrie first proved that $Z_{p,q}$ can act smoothly (but not linearly) and freely on some high-dimensional sphere, and finally Madsen, Thomas and Wall showed that $Z_{p,q}$ can act smoothly and freely on S^{2q-1} . Let Σ be such a free $Z_{p,q}$ -sphere of dimension $2q-1$.

$Z_{p,q}$ has a complex q -dimensional representation R and a nontrivial 1-dimensional representation T .

We set $W_k = R \oplus kT$, $k \geq 1$.

In this case $d = 2q$ and so $\dim \Sigma = d - 1$.

Moreover

$$\mathcal{A}/G = \begin{cases} \{(C_p), (C_q)\} & \text{if } k = 1 \\ \{(C_p)\} & \text{if } k > 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence we have

$$[\Sigma, SW_k]_{Z_{p,q}}^{\text{isov}} \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } k = 1 \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } k > 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof — Equivariant cohomology

We give the outline of proof of the isovariant Hopf theorem (Theorem 10).

Let M be a free G -manifold and $C_*(M)$ its cellular chain complex. Note that $C_n(M)$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module.

Let π be a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module, and define the equivariant cochain complex $C_G^*(M; \pi) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(C_*(M); \pi)$.

Definition. $\mathfrak{H}_G^n(M; \pi) = H^n(C_G^*(M; \pi))$.

Remark. $\mathfrak{H}_G^n(M; \pi) \cong H^n(M/G; \{\pi\})$, where $\{\pi\}$ denotes the local coefficients induced from the $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module π .

Proof — From equivariant obstruction theory

Let $f, g : M \rightarrow SW_{\text{free}}$ be G -maps and let $\gamma_G(f, g)$ denote the equivariant obstruction class to the existence of a G -homotopy between f and g .

Let $\pi_{d-1} = \pi_{d-1}(SW_{\text{free}})$. Since SW_{free} is $(d-2)$ -connected and $\dim M = d-1$, we have

Proposition 12. The correspondence $[f] \mapsto \gamma_G(f_0, f)$ gives a bijection $\gamma_G : [M, SW_{\text{free}}]_G \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_G^{d-1}(M; \pi_{d-1})$, where f_0 is a fixed isovariant map.

Remark. When $d = 2$, using the Borsuk-Ulam inequality, one can see that G is cyclic and π_1 is abelian.

Proof — Computation

Let

$$\varepsilon : \mathfrak{H}_G^{d-1}(M; \pi_{d-1}) \rightarrow H^{d-1}(M; \pi_{d-1})$$

be the forgetful map.

Proposition 13.

- (1) $\mathfrak{H}_G^{d-1}(M; \pi_{d-1}) \cong \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}/G} \mathbb{Z}$.
- (2) $H_{d-1}(M; \pi_{d-1}) \cong_G \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}/G} \mathbb{Z}[G/NH]$.
- (3) ε is injective.
- (4) $\text{Im } \varepsilon = \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}/G} |NH| \mathbb{Z}[G/NH]^G \cong \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}/G} |NH| \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof — Cohomological description of the multidegree

Proposition 14.

(1) $\pi_{d-1}(SW_{\text{free}}) \cong_G \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}/G} \mathbb{Z}[G/NH]$, and
 $\pi_{d-1}(SW_{\text{free}})^G \cong \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}/G} \mathbb{Z}$.

(2) Under the above identification, we have

$$\text{mDeg } f - \text{mDeg } g = \langle \varepsilon(\gamma_G(f, g)), [M] \rangle,$$

where $\langle -, [M] \rangle : H^{d-1}(M; \pi_{d-1}) \rightarrow \pi_{d-1}(SW_{\text{free}})$ is the evaluation map, which is a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -isomorphism.

Proof of the isovariant Hopf theorem

(1) $\text{mDeg} : [M, SW]_G^{\text{isov}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}/G} \mathbb{Z}$ is injective.

Since

$$\text{mDeg } f - \text{mDeg } g = \langle \varepsilon(\gamma_G(f, g)), [M] \rangle,$$

if $\text{mDeg } f = \text{mDeg } g$, then $\varepsilon(\gamma_G(f, g)) = 0$. Since ε is injective, we have $\gamma_G(f, g) = 0$.

This implies that f and g are isovariantly homotopic. Hence mDeg is injective.

(2) For any two G -isovariant maps $f, g : M \rightarrow SW$,

$$\text{mDeg } f - \text{mDeg } g \in \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}/G} |NH|\mathbb{Z}.$$

(3) Fix a G -isovariant map $f_0 : M \rightarrow SW$. For any $a \in \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}/G} |NH|\mathbb{Z}$, there exists a G -isovariant map $f : M \rightarrow SW$ such that

$$\text{mDeg } f - \text{mDeg } f_0 = a.$$

Using the fact $\text{Im } \varepsilon \cong \bigoplus_{(H) \in \mathcal{A}/G} |NH|\mathbb{Z}$, one can see (2) and (3). □